

## Erratum to: cTNM vs. pTNM: the effect of not applying ultrasonography in the identification of cervical nodal disease.

W Jerjes<sup>1,2\*</sup>, C Hopper<sup>1</sup>

### Erratum to

Jerjes W, Upile T, Radhi H, Petrie A, Abiola J, Adams A, Callear J, Kafas P, Abbas S, Rajaram K, Hopper C. cTNM vs. pTNM: the effect of not applying ultrasonography in the identification of cervical nodal disease. *Head Neck Oncol.* 2012 Mar 12;4:5.

### Citation

Jerjes W, Hopper C. Erratum to: cTNM vs. pTNM: the effect of not applying ultrasonography in the identification of cervical nodal disease. *Head Neck Oncol.* 2013 Aug 22;5(4):47.

### Erratum

In 2012, Jerjes et al.<sup>1</sup> reported the results of a clinical audit study of patients with head and neck cancer treated at University College London Hospitals. We would like to highlight an error noted in the second paragraph of the materials and methods section of the article. It was stated in the original article that “All applications were accompanied by multidisciplinary team recommendation, ethical approval and informed patient consent”.

As this short communication was an audit study, reporting the benefit of using ultrasonography in the diagnosis of nodal disease, no patient consenting or ethical approval was required. The original statement was an error and we are glad that this was highlighted to us and we were given the opportunity to publish this erratum.

### References

1. Jerjes W, Upile T, Radhi H, Petrie A, Abiola J, Adams A, Callear J, Kafas P, Abbas S, Rajaram K, Hopper C. cTNM vs. pTNM: the effect of not applying ultrasonography in the identification of cervical nodal disease. *Head Neck Oncol.* 2012 Mar 12;4:5.

\*Corresponding author

Email: waseem\_wk1@yahoo.co.uk

<sup>1</sup>University College London, London, UK

<sup>2</sup>University of Leeds, Leeds, UK